HIGH SPEED DIGITAL CMOS INPUT BUFFER DESIGN

By

Krishna Duvvada

A project

Submitted in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Electrical Engineering,

Boise State University

December, 2006

The project submitted by Krishna Duvvada entitled "HIGH SPEED DIGITAL CMOS INPUT BUFFER DESIGN" is hereby approved:

Dr. R. Jacob Baker, Advisor

Dr. Nader Rafla

Dr. Zhu Han

Date

Date

Date

Dr. John R. Pelton, Graduate Dean

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my privilege to do my Masters in Electrical Engineering Department at Boise State University. I would like to take this opportunity to thank my Professors for providing me with quality and technical education, guidance and motivation. The special courses and the research have induced valuable concepts and good understanding of the subject.

I would like to thank MURI (Multidisciplinary University Research Initiative Program) for funding this project. Very special thanks to my advisor, Dr. Jacob Baker who has provided me with high quality education, guidance and motivation through out my graduation.

I dedicate this work to my parents, without whom I wouldn't be here. I would also like to thank Mr. Vishal Saxena for his support during this project and my graduate studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	vi
LIST OF ABBREAVATIONS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	xiii
Overview of the Project	xiii
Project Goals	xiii
Project Organization	xiv
Achievements	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
Basic Operation of an Input Buffer	1
Differential Amplifier Input Buffers	2
CHAPTER 2: BUFFER TOPOLOGIES-DESIGN, SIMULATION, LAYOU	T AND
FABRICATION	4
NMOS Input Buffer Design	4
Simulation results of NMOS buffer	7
Layout of NMOS buffer	9
Micrograph of NMOS buffer	9
PMOS Input Buffer Design	10
Simulation results of PMOS buffer	11

Layout of PMOS buffer	13
Micrograph of PMOS buffer	13
Parallel Input Buffer Design	14
Simulation results of Parallel buffer	15
Layout of Parallel buffer	17
Micrograph of Parallel buffer	17
CHAPTER 3: MOSFET DIGITAL MODEL AND DELAY CALCULATIONS	18
Switching resistance and capacitance calcualtions	18
Device characteristics summary for AMI's CN5 process	20
Digital model parameters for delay calculations	20
CHAPTER 4: SIMULATION AND TEST RESULTS	21
Test setup	21
Delay versus Supply Voltage (VDD)	22
NMOS buffer	23
PMOS buffer	24
Parallel buffer	24
Delay versus Reference Voltage	25
NMOS buffer	25
PMOS buffer	26
Parallel buffer	26
Simulated Delay versus Temperature	27
Delay versus Rise time and fall times	28
Test results showing delay versus input signal swing	29

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION	31
Layout and fabrication of Input buffer circuits	
Conclusion	
APPENDIX A: DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS AND R-C CIRCUITS	
Threshold voltage of the devices	34
R-C networks	
Charge Sharing Principle	
Compensation technique for scope probes	
APPENDIX B: SPICE MODELS AND NETLIST	40
SPICE NETLIST	41
SPICE MODELS	
REFERENCES	

LIST OF SYMBOLS

V _{DD}	Supply Voltage.
V _{DS}	Drain to Source Voltage.
V _{SD}	Source to Drain Voltage.
V _{G8}	Gate to Source Voltage.
I _D	Drain Current.
A	amperes.
V	volts.
m	milli.
p	pico.
u	micro.
n	nano.
f	femto.
Ст	Total Capacitance.
P _D	Power Dissipation.
К	kilo.
C _{out}	Output or load capacitance.
R _{load}	Load resistance.
V _{output}	Load or output Voltage.
V _{in}	

LIST OF ABBREVATIONS

BSU	Boise State University.
MURI	Multidisciplinary University Research Initiative Program.
DC	Direct Current.
MOS	
PMOS	P-channel Metal Oxide Semiconductor.
NMOS	N-channel Metal Oxide Semiconductor.
SPICE	Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis.
R	
C	Capacitance.
t	time.
AC	Alternate current.

LIST OF TABLES.

Table	1: Device characteristics summary for AMI s CN5 CMOS process	21
Table	2: Digital Model parameters for calculating the delay times	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Variation in the pulse width of the digital data due to incorrect slicing2	2
Figure 2.1 Schematic of the NMOS input buffer	ŀ
Figure 2.2 Inverter voltage transfer characteristics and crossing current)
Figure 2.3 Simulations showing the output of the NMOS input buffer and it's zoomed in	
view7	,
Figure 2.4 Simulations of the buffer with enable across VDD	,
Figure 2.5 Switching current of NMOS buffer	,
Figure 2.6 Simulations results by varying rise time of the buffer with enable and	
corresponding zoomed in view7	
Figure 2.6 Simulations results by varying rise time of the buffer with enable and	
corresponding zoomed in view	
Figure 2.7 Cross temperature simulation results and corresponding zoomed in view8	
Figure 2.8 Simulations by changing vref	
Figure 2.9 Simulations by changing vinp	
Figure 2.10 Layout of NMOS buffer	
Figure 2.11 Image of NMOS input buffer	
Figure 2.12 Schematic of the PMOS input buffer10	
Figure 2.14 Simulations for Rise time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5ns,	
tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)11	
Figure 2.15 Simulations for Fall time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5ns,	
tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)11	

rigure 2.10 cross temperature simulation results and corresponding 200med	
	11
Figure 2.17 Simulations showing the output of the PMOS input buffer and its	s zoomed in
view	12
Figure 2.18 Sweeping Vdd with enable	12
Figure 2.19 Current when switching	12
Figure 2.20 Sweeping vref with enable	12
Figure 2.21 Layout of PMOS buffer	13
Figure 2.22 Fabricated image of PMOS buffer	13
Figure 2.23 Schematic diagram of a rail to rail input buffer	14
Figure 2.24 Simulations for Rise time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5	ns,
tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)	15
Figure 2.25 Simulations for Fall time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5)	15,
tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)	15
Figure 2.26 Cross temperature simulation results and corresponding zoomed	in view16
Figure 2.27 Simulations showing the output of the Parallel input buffer and i	ts zoomed in
view	16
Figure 2.28 Sweeping Vdd with enable	16
Figure 2.29 Current when switching	16
Figure 2.30 Layout of parallel buffer circuit	17
Figure 2.31 Image showing the fabricated parallel buffer	17
Figure 3.1 NMOS switching circuit	

Figure 2.16 Cross temperature simulation results and corresponding zoomed in view.

Figure 3.2 IV plot for 10/2 NMOS device for estimating the switching resistance of the
current design
Figure 4.1 Test setup for the input buffers
Figure 4.2Block diagram for simulating delay Vs supply voltage
Figure 4.3 Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD23
Figure 4.4 Simulation and test results of PMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD24
Figure 4.5 Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD24
Figure 4.6 Delay vs supply voltage (<i>VDD</i>) plot for the input buffers25
Figure 4.7 Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against Vref25
Figure 4.8 Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD26
Figure 4.9 Simulation and test results of delay versus vref for parallel buffer
Figure 4.10 Test results of delay vs common mode reference (Vref) plot for the input
buffers
Figure 4.11 Delay vs temperature (NMOS)
Figure 4.12 Delay vs temperature (PMOS)
Figure 4.13 Delay vs temperature (parallel)
Figure 4.14 Simulated delay vs temperature plot for the input buffers
Figure 4.15 Simulation results for delay vs rise time and fall times for NMOS buffers28
Figure 4.16 Simulation results for delay vs rise time and fall times for PMOS buffers28
Figure 4.17 Simulation results for delay vs rise time and fall times for parallel buffers28
Figure 4.18 Block diagram for delay Vs input signal swing
Figure 4.19 Test results -delay vs vinp for NMOS (vpp=2.5V) and for PMOS
(vpp=2.3V)

Figure 4.20 Test results-delay vs vinp (vpp=2.5) for parallel	30
Figure 4.21 Delay vs input signal swing (<i>Vp</i>) plot for the input buffers	30
Figure 5.1 Layout of the chip	31
Figure 5.2 Micrograph of the chip	31

ABSTRACT

Overview of the Project

High speed digital Input buffer circuits are used in a wide variety of digital applications. One of the common applications of these input buffers is in memory devices. Memory circuits needs clean and full level digital data in the memory array. The digital data traveling through various digital circuitry gets distorted by adding delays in the signals like low voltage signal levels, slow rise and fall times, etc. The buffer circuits take these input signals with imperfections and convert them in to full digital logic levels by 'slicing' the data signals at correct levels which depends upon the switching point voltage. In this project, all the input buffer topologies employ self biased differential amplifiers because for buffers employing inverters in series, the switching point of the inverter varies due to the attenuation of the amplitude of the input signal. This project presents design, simulation, fabrication and characterization of novel, differential high-speed input buffers which mitigate all the above mentioned problems. The design of these input buffers has been processed in AMI's CMOS processes with a die size of 1.5 x 1.5 mm^2 .

Project Goal

• To design, simulate, fabricate and characterize the novel, digital, differential highspeed input buffer circuits in AMI's CN5 process.

Project Organization

The project is divided in to five chapters

- First chapter gives an overview of the project and an introduction to the Input buffer circuits. Project requirements and objectives are also stated.
- The second chapter discusses various buffer topologies, their design, simulation, layout and fabrication.
- Chapter three discusses the MOSFET digital model. The switching resistance and capacitance of the MOS devices are calculated.
- Chapter four discusses the various test setups and the corresponding results. It mainly compares between the simulated and characterization results for the three topologies (NMOS, PMOS and Parallel input buffers).
- Chapter five describes the layout and fabrication of the full chip and also the project conclusion.

Achievements

- Design, simulation, layout and characterization of High speed digital Input buffer circuits in AMI's CN5 process.
- The chip was fabricated with ground-signal-ground pads for characterization and with a die size of 1.5 x 1.5 mm^2 .
- The test results demonstrated that the designed input buffers operate well for high-speed input signals.
- The delays were virtually independent of power supply voltage, input common mode reference and voltage swing.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Input Buffer Circuits

High speed input signals travel through the various digital circuits and gets distorted when it reaches the chip i.e. the digital data traveling through various digital circuitry gets distorted by adding delays in the signals like low voltage signal levels, slow rise and fall times, etc. Input buffers circuits are present at a chip's input and convert input signals with the above mentioned imperfections in to clean, full logic level digital signals for use inside the chip by 'slicing' the data signals at correct levels which depends upon the switching point voltage. The 'switching point' voltage is defined as the voltage at which the input and the output transitions from logic high to logic low or vice versa. If the switching point is too high, the output data has good low noise margin and if the switching point is too low, the output data has high noise margin. If the input signal is triangle wave with slow rise and fall times, the bits at the output of the buffer will have variations in the pulse width transitioning either too fast or too slow [1].

Input buffer circuits are used in a wide variety of digital applications. One of the common applications of the input buffers is in the memory devices. Generally input buffers employing differential amplifiers couple the data signals between the input terminals and the main memory array. If the buffer doesn't slice the data at the correct time instants, timing errors can occur i.e., the bits of data at the output of the buffer gets distorted. If the input signal is sliced too high or low, the output signal's width is



Figure 1.1 Variation in the pulse width of the digital data due to incorrect slicing.[1]

Differential amplifier Input buffers: -

There are many types of input buffers used in the digital circuits. For buffers employing inverters in series, the switching point of the inverter varies due to the attenuation of the amplitude of the input signal. To overcome this problem, the mean of the input signal is given to the reference input of the differential amplifier. In order to precisely 'slice' the input data, the data is transmitted differentially as an input and its complement. A differential amplifier input buffer amplifies the difference between the two inputs. All the buffer topologies used in this design employ self biased differential amplifiers as no external reference is used to set the bias current in the differential amplifier [1]. The design of these input buffers has been processed in 0.5um CMOS processes with a die size of $1.5 \times 1.5 mm^2$ and a supply voltage of 5V with a scale factor of 0.3um.

CHAPTER 2: Buffer Topologies- Design, Simulation, Layout and Fabrication

This project describes input buffer designs using NMOS, PMOS and parallel combination of both the topologies. The buffer topologies used in this design, have a differential amplifier which amplifies the difference between the reference voltage, transmitted on a different signal path, along with data and input pulse on other signal path. It is a self-biased circuit because no external references are used to set the current in the circuit.





Figure 2.1 Schematic of the NMOS input buffer [1]

Figure 2.1 shows an NMOS version of the input buffer. When the input falls below V_{THN} , then the circuit will not work very quickly as the NMOS devices are moved into subthreshold region. This will result in an increase in the delay. When the input is just above the reference voltage, the output transition will be logic high. If the input is below the reference voltage, the output will be logic zero. It also has an enable circuit having a PMOS and NMOS as seen in the schematic. When these transistors are OFF, the output of the circuit will be in high impedance state and the input to the inverter driving the signal will not have a valid logic. Vref is the average of the pulses and the circuit for averaging the signals is peak detector and valley detector.

In the above figure 2.1, when Vinp is larger than Vinm, the current in M2 is greater than the current in M1. Due to the current mirror action, the currents in M3 and M4 are same i.e. M1, M3 and M4 have same currents. In order to have the same currents in M4 and M2 the transistor M2 pulls the output Vom to ground giving a good logic at the output of the buffer [1, 2].

When these transistors are OFF, the output of the circuit will be in high impedance state and the input to the inverter driving the signal will not have a valid logic. So there is a large amount of current flows in the circuit which might damage the chip. This can be explained with the simulations below. The output of the differential amplifier in high-z state is compared with the output of a '20/10' inverter when both the transistors are 'ON'. Figure shows the I-V curve and its corresponding crossing current of the inverter which flows in the inverter when both the transistors are conducting.



Figure 2.2 Inverter voltage transfer characteristics and crossing current.

To eliminate this problem, an NMOS switch M8 is connected at the output of the differential amplifier. The gate of the NMOS switch is connected to VSbar as shown in figure 2.1. A 160/80 size inverter is added to drive the large capacitive load. 30pF load capacitor is used in parallel with 10MEG resistor taking the probe cable and oscilloscope in to consideration. GSG (ground-signal-ground) pads are used in the layout with a pitch of 150um. Ideally, the delay of the buffer should be independent of the power supply voltage, temperature, input signal amplitudes or pulse shape [3]. In order to obtain better performance for lower input level signals, a PMOS version of input buffer can be used.

Simulation results for NMOS input buffer: -



Figure 2.3 Simulations showing the output of the NMOS input buffer and its zoomed in view





Figure 2.4 Simulations of the buffer with enable across VDD

Figure 2.4 Simulations of the buffer with Figure 2.5 Switching current of NMOS buffer



Figure 2.6 Simulations results by varying rise time of the buffer with enable and corresponding zoomed in view.



Figure 2.6 Simulations results by varying rise time of the buffer with enable and corresponding zoomed in view.



Figure 2.7 Cross temperature simulation results and corresponding zoomed in view.



Figure 2.8 Simulations by changing vref



Figure 2.9 Simulations by changing vinp

NMOS Input buffer layout: -



Figure 2.10 Layout of NMOS buffer

Micrograph showing the NMOS input buffer: -



Figure 2.11 Image of NMOS input buffer

PMOS Input Buffer Design: -

A PMOS version of the Input buffer is used for achieving better performance for lower input level signals.



Figure 2.12 Schematic of the PMOS input buffer [1]

PMOS version of the buffer can be used for lower input signal amplitudes. But with PMOS buffers, there is a problem with offset which can be eliminated by using NMOS in parallel with PMOS version shown in the schematic. The parallel buffer works over a wide range of operating voltages in which NMOS for offsets and PMOS for operating when the signals are low or VDD.

Simulation results for PMOS input buffer: -







Figure 2.14 Simulations for Rise time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5ns, tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)





Figure 2.15 Simulations for Fall time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5ns, tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)



Figure 2.16 Cross temperature simulation results and corresponding zoomed in view.



Figure 2.17 Simulations showing the output of the PMOS input buffer and its zoomed in view



Figure 2.18 Sweeping Vdd with enable



Figure 2.20 Sweeping vref with enable



Figure 2.19 Current when switching



Figure 2.21 Layout of PMOS buffer

Micrograph showing the PMOS input buffer: -



Figure 2.22 Fabricated image of PMOS buffer



Figure 2.23 Schematic diagram of a rail to rail input buffer [1]

To avoid the offset from PMOS version, the NMOS buffer can be used in parallel with a PMOS buffer show in figure 2.23, to form an input buffer that operates well with input signals approaching ground and VDD. The topology with the buffers in parallel provides a robust input buffer that works for a wide range of input voltages.

Simulation results of parallel buffer: -





Figure 2.24 Simulations for Rise tin tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)

Figure 2.24 Simulations for Rise time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5ns,





Figure 2.25 Simulations for Fall time sweep with enable (tran1-2ns, tran2=5ns, tran3=8ns,tran4=11ns and tran5=14ns)



Figure 2.26 Cross temperature simulation results and corresponding zoomed in view.



Figure 2.27 Simulations showing the output of the Parallel input buffer and its zoomed in view

mV



Figure 2.28 Sweeping Vdd with enable



current

Figure 2.29 Current when switching

Layout of a parallel input buffer: -



Figure 2.30 Layout of parallel buffer circuit

Micrograph showing the Parallel input buffer: -



Figure 2.31 Image showing the fabricated parallel buffer

CHAPTER 3: MOSFET Digital Model and Delay calculations

Switching Resistance Calculation: -

The switching resistance in the digital circuits is estimated by using the following approximation. In the following figure 3.1, the capacitor is initially charged to VDD. When the NMOS switch is closed i.e. when V_{GS} is zero, then the drain of the MOSFET is at VDD. When V_{GS} is taken to VDD, the drain current in the NMOS switch is given by equation 1.



Figure 3.1 NMOS switching circuit [1]

$$I_D = \frac{KP_n}{r} \cdot \frac{W}{L} \cdot (VDD - V_{THN})^r = \frac{b}{r} \cdot (VDD - V_{THN})^r$$
(1)



Switching resistance of the MOSFET is the inverse of the slope of this line.

Figure 3.2 IV plot for 10/2 NMOS device for estimating the switching resistance of the current design.

From the above simulation results, the current obtained for a 10/2 NMOS device with a VDD of 5V is around 1.08mA.

$$R_{n} = \frac{VDD}{I_{D}} = \frac{VDD}{\frac{KP_{n}}{2} \cdot \frac{W}{L} \cdot (VDD - V_{THN})^{2}} = R_{n}' \cdot \frac{L}{W}$$
$$R_{n} = \frac{VDD}{I_{D,sat}} = \frac{5}{1.08mA} \neq 4.6kW$$

Therefore, the switching resistance for an NMOS device in this design is

 $R_n \gg \xi. \forall k. \frac{L}{W}$, and the switching resistance for a PMOS device is estimated as

$$R_p \gg 1$$
 π . $Ak. \frac{L}{W}$

The oxide capacitance in this design is estimated as $C_{ox} = C'_{ox} WL.(scale)^2$

$$C'_{ox} = \frac{e_{ox}}{t_{ox}} = 2.52 \, fF \, / \, mn^2$$
, where $t_{ox} = 139 \, \text{A}^0$.

Based upon the above calculated values, tables 1 and table2 summarizes the effective switching resistances and oxide capacitances for CN5 CMOS processes used in this design.

MOSFET parameters for present design with VDD=5V and a scale factor of 300nm					
	(scale	=300nm)			
Parameter	NMOS	PMOS	Comments		
V_{THN} and V_{THP}	770mV	870mV	Typical		
KP_n and KP_p	$115 m_{\rm A/V^2}$	$38 m_{\mathrm{A/V^2}}$	t _{ox} =139 A ⁰		
$C'_{ox} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{ox}}{t_{ox}}$	$2.52 fF / mm^2$	$2.52 fF / mn^2$	t _{ox} =139 A ⁰		
$I_n \text{ and } I_p$	0.024 V ⁻¹	0.023 V ⁻¹	at L=2		
C_{ox}	4.5 <i>fF</i>	9 <i>f</i> F	$C_{ox} = C'_{ox} WL.(scale)^2$		
R_n and R_p	$R_n \gg 4.6k.\frac{L}{W}$	$R_p \gg 13.8k.\frac{L}{W}$	Scale=0.3 m _m		

Table 1: Device characteristics summary for AMI s CN5 CMOS process

Table 2: Digital Model parameters for calculating the delay times

Technology	R_n	R_p	Scale factor	$C_{ox} = C'_{ox} WL.(scale)^2$
AMI CN5	$R_n \gg \mathfrak{L}.\mathfrak{T}k.\frac{L}{W}$	$R_p \gg 1$ °. $\Lambda k. \frac{L}{W}$	_{0.3} m _m	(2.52 <i>fF</i>).WL

CHAPTER 4: Results

Test Setup: -

The input buffers were tested using the setup shown in the figure below. The common mode reference voltage Vref was nominally kept at 2.5V and the input differential signal Vp (clock pulses with 1MHz frequency) was applied at the positive terminal of the buffer. The net delay was measured as the sum of charging and discharging delays (i.e. tpLH + tpHL).



Figure 4.1: - Test setup for the input buffers

Simulations have been performed using the SPICE simulator to check the changes in delays with the variations of supply voltage, temperature, reference voltage, and rise and fall times.

Different spice model parameters in AMI's CN5 processes like fast-fast, slow-slow and typical are used and simulated to see the performance of the input buffers. The net delays are less sensitive to variation in processing, temperature and supply voltages which are

desirable in several applications in digital CMOS integrated circuits where precision, high speed and high production yields are required. Ideally these delays are independent on these performance issues.

The change in delay with the change in supply voltage, reference voltage, rise time, fall time and temperature for the input buffer circuits are shown below. For the Delay Vs VDD graph shown below, the delays are calculated using fast, typical and slow model parameters. The simulation results and the test results are shown side by side for the three topologies for comparison.



(1) Delay Vs supply voltage (VDD): -

Figure 4.2: - Block diagram for simulating delay Vs supply voltage.

The below graphs are plotted to see the variation of the delay (ns) with respect to the supply voltage for three different spice parameter models (slow, typical and fast). The delay times is less for fast parameters when compared with the slow and typical corner parameters. Since the Differential amplifier is self biased, the bias current is proportional

to VDD. When VDD is increased, the bias current increases, which in turn increases the transconductance (gm). Thus the increase in VDD increases the differential amplifier's speed and reduces the delay.

The test results are shown adjacent to the simulation results for the variation of delay times with respect to the change in the supply voltage (VDD) for (a) NMOS (b) PMOS and (c) PARALLEL input buffers respectively.



(a) **NMOS Input buffer: -**

Figure 4.3: -Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD

(b) **PMOS Input buffer: -**

Simulation results

Test results



Figure 4.4: -Simulation and test results of PMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD



(c) Parallel Input buffer: -

Figure 4.5: -Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD

Following figure shows the delay times with respect to the variation of supply voltage (VDD) for NMOS, PMOS and Parallel input buffers. The delay times are characterized on the fabricated circuits. The delay times for PMOS buffer is less when compared with the NMOS because PMOS has higher resistance than that of the NMOS. The parallel buffer has optimal delay times when compared with the other two topologies.



Figure 4.6: - Delay vs supply voltage (VDD) plot for the input buffers

(2) Delay Vs Reference voltage: -

Graph below shows the variation of delay times (ns) with respect to the variation of the reference Voltage (Vref).

(a) NMOS Input buffer: -



Figure 4.7: -Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against Vref

(b) **PMOS Input buffer: -**

Simulation results



Figure 4.8: -Simulation and test results of NMOS buffer for delay plotted against VDD

(χ) Parallel Input buffer: -



Figure 4.9: - Simulation and test results of delay versus vref for parallel buffer



Figure 4.10: -Test results of delay vs common mode reference (*Vref*) plot for the input buffers.

(3) Delay Vs temperature: -

Plots below are the simulation results showing the variation of delay times (ns) with re-

spect to the variation of the temperature.



Figure 4.11 Delay vs temperature (NMOS)



Figure 4.13 Delay vs temperature (parallel)

Figure 4.12 Delay vs temperature (PMOS)



Figure 4.14 Simulated delay vs temperature plot for the input buffers.

(4) Plots below shows the delay Vs rise time and fall times

Delay Vs Rise time

Delay Vs Fall time

120



Figure 4.17 Simulation results for delay vs rise time and fall times for parallel buffers
(5) Test results showing the delay times Vs input signal swing: -

Plots shown below are the block diagram and test results determining the change in the input signal swing (Vinp) with peak to peak voltage varying around an offset of 2.5V and with the reference voltage (Vinm) of 2.5V. For low input voltage, the delay times of

NMOS are greater than the PMOS and for higher voltages; PMOS delay is greater than the NMOS delay.



Figure 4.18 Block diagram for delay Vs input signal swing.



Figure 4.19 Test results -delay vs vinp for NMOS (vpp=2.5V) and for PMOS (vpp=2.3V)



Figure 4.20 Test results-delay vs vinp (vpp=2.5) for parallel

Figure 4.21 Delay vs input signal swing (Vp) plot for the input buffers.

The test results demonstrate that the designed input buffers operate well for high-speed input signals. The delay is virtually independent of power supply voltage, input common mode reference and voltage swing. The output pulse is highly symmetric and skew is absent. The parallel buffer topology provides the optimal performance for wide range of input voltages.

CHAPTER 5: Conclusion

Layout and Fabrication of Input Buffer circuits: -

Figure 5.1 shows the layout of the full chip. LASI CAD software is used for the circuit layout. Ground- signal –ground pads are used in the layout with a pitch of 150um. Other pads are VDD, Vref and enable pads. Test structures are used in testing the chip. Open, short and with a 50 ohm load. One row is buffer circuits (PMOS, NMOS and parallel) with enable circuits and the other row is without enable circuits. Figure 5.2 is the micrograph of the input buffer circuits fabricated on a chip. The buffer circuits are fabricated in AMI's CN5 (0.5um) process with a VDD of 5V. The buffers are designed to drive a load of 30pF which accounts for the load offered by the probe setup. A large inverter is used to drive the large load with optimal delay.



Figure 5.1 Layout of the chip

Figure 5.2 Micrograph of the chip

Conclusion

A set of differential high-speed input buffers have been designed, fabricated and tested. The designed input buffers have been processed in AMI's CN5 (0.5um) CMOS processes with a die size of $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^{*}$ and a supply voltage of 5V with a scale factor of 0.3um. NMOS buffer doesn't work quickly when the input falls below V_{THN}.

.

PMOS

buffers

.

.

NMOS

buffers

PMOS version of input buffer can be used for lower input signal levels but has a larger offset. To avoid the offset, the NMOS buffer can be used in parallel with a PMOS buffer. Parallel buffer topology provides a robust input buffer that works for a wide range of input voltages. The buffer circuits are designed to drive a load of 30pF which accounts for the load offered by the probe setup. A large inverter (160/80) is used to drive the large load with optimal delay. All the buffers circuits are designed and fabricated with an enable circuit and employs self biased differential amplifier as no external reference voltage is need to set the bias current in the circuit.

The designed input buffers provide high frequency operation with lower delays. The delays are nearly independent of variations in power supply, input signal common mode and differential voltages, and temperature. APPENDIX A

DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS AND R-C CIRCUITS

Threshold Voltage of the Devices: -

The threshold voltage of NMOS and PMOS is determined by the simulations shown in the figures A1 and A2.



Figure A1 Transconductance (gm) plotted against V_{GS} for NMOS device



Figure A2 Transconductance (gm) plotted against V_{SG} for PMOS device

R-C NETWORKS

<u>Rise Time</u>: The time taken for the output voltage to rise from 10% to 90% of the input voltage is defined as the rise time.

<u>Fall Time</u>: The fall time is defined as the time taken for the output to fall from 90% of the input value to 10% of the input value.

<u>Time Constant</u>: The product of the resistance and the capacitance value is called the time constant of the simple R-C network. The time constant for a circuit varies depending on the network components.

Equation governing charging of the capacitor:

$$V_{out} = V_{in.}(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{R.C}})$$
(A.1)

Equation governing the discharging of the capacitor:

Where V_{in} = input voltage, t=time in seconds, R=Resistance in ohms, C=Capacitance in Farads.

Consider the R-C network as shown in the figure A.3.The output of the circuit for R=10K and C=100pF is also shown in the same figure to the right. In this case Rise time=Fall time=2.5us.



Figure A.3: R-C network to the left and transient response to the right.

Charge Sharing Principle

The charge sharing principle is basically dependent on charge between two capacitors To better understand this, consider two capacitors in parallel with a switch in between them as shown in figure A4.Assuming voltage on C1 is V1 and C2 is V2 before closing the switch. So the total charge on the capacitors before the switch closes is given by:

$$Q_{before} = C1.V1 + C2.V2$$
(A.3)

Let's say the voltage on the capacitors after the switch closes is V_{final} .

By the law of conservation of charge:

$$Q_{before} = Q_{after}$$
(A.4)

$$\Longrightarrow C_1.V_1 + C_2.V_2 = (C_1 + C_2).V_f$$
 (A.5)

$$V_f = \frac{(C_1 \cdot V_1 + C_2 \cdot V_2)}{C_1 + C_2} \dots (A.6)$$



Figure A.4: Charge sharing phenomena between two capacitors.

Compensation technique for the scope probes

In this project, the input buffers are designed and simulated with an output load of 30pF in parallel with a 10Meg resistor. This is because the capacitances of the input buffer circuits are very small (generally in femtofarads) and while micro probing the input buffers, there will be an addition of extra capacitance. This is explained with the figure shown below.



Figure A5 Schematic representation of the scope probe setup.

Generally, the oscilloscope has an input impedance of 1Mohm resistor in parallel with a 10pF capacitor. The probe cable (co-axial cable) has a capacitance of 100pF/m when connected between the input of the oscilloscope and the Device under test (DUT). The total capacitance of the probe cable and the oscilloscope is around 110pF. This adds 100pF of capacitance in parallel with 1Mohm resistor to the circuit which increases the delays and might cause the circuits to fail. The probe cable capacitance is compensated by adding a series resistor and a capacitor in between the cable and the probe tip which is called as 'compensated scope probe'. The total probe cable capacitance and the input capacitance of the scope can be lumped together as C_1 . R_1 is the input resistance of the

scope which is typically equal to $1M\Omega$. R₂ is the series resistance in the probe tip while C₂ is the tunable compensation capacitance in the probe tip.



Figure A6 Equivalent circuit for the scope probe.

Due to the compensated scope probe, a 10:1 voltage divider is exist between the probe tip and the input of the oscilloscope i.e. the RC time constant is adjusted such that it is nine times the impedance of the RC of probe cable and the oscilloscope. The overall loading after the compensation is greatly reduced to around 10pF in parallel with 10Mohm. **APPENDIX B**

SPICE MODELS AND NETLIST

NETLIST

.control destroy all run plot current plot vout1 vinm vinp .endc

.option scale=0.3u .tran 1n 1u

VDD	VDD	0	DC	5		
Vinp	Vinp	0	DC	0	pulse 2	2 3 50n 30n 30n 150n 300n
Vinm	Vinm	0	DC	2.5		
VSBAR	VSBAR	0	DC	0	PULSE 5 0 125n 5n 5n 1u 1u	
VS1	VS1	0	DC	0	PULSE 0 5 125n 5n 5n 1u 1u	
M1p	Vomp	Vinm		Vppp	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=20
M2p	Vop	Vinp		Vppp	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=20
M5p	Vppp	Vomp		Vn2	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=40
Мбр	Vn2	VSBAR		VDD	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=40
M3p	Vomp	Vomp		0	0	NMOS L=2 W=10
M4p	Vop	Vomp		0	0	NMOS L=2 W=10
M1	Vom	Vinm		Vnn	0	NMOS L=2 W=10
M2	Vop	Vinp		Vnn	0	NMOS L=2 W=10
M5	Vnn	Vom		Vnl	0	NMOS L=2 W=20
M6	Vn1	VS1		0	0	NMOS L=2 W=20
M3	Vom	Vom		VDD	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=20
M4	Vop	Vom		VDD	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=20

M7	Vop	VSBAR	0	0	NMOS L=2 W=20
MI1	Vout	Vop	0	0	NMOS L=2 W=10
MI2	Vout	Vop	VDD	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=20
MII1	Vout1	Vout	0	0	NMOS L=2 W=80
MII2	Vout1	Vout	VDD	VDD	PMOS L=2 W=160

SPICE MODELS

* Tech: AMI C5N * LOT: T22Y SS (SLOW-SLOW) WAF: 3102 * Temperature parameters=Optimized .MODEL nMOS NMOS (LEVEL = 49+VERSION = 3.1TNOM = 27TOX = 1.39E-8+XJ= 1.5E-7NCH = 1.7E17VTH0 = 0.7087481+K1= 0.9382905K2 = -0.1120562K3 = 23.0789213W0 NLX = 1E-9 +K3B = -7.3398981= 1E-8+DVT0W = 0DVT1W = 0DVT2W = 0+DVT0 = 3.3388333DVT1 = 0.4283914DVT2 = -0.0952143+U0=459.674806UA = 1E-13UB = 1.503507E-18+UC = 1.325863E-11 VSAT = 1.682969E5= 0.4784067A0 +AGS = 0.0995613**B**0 = 2.644452E-6 B1 = 5E-6+KETA = -5.808373E-3 A1 = 1.027068E-4 A2 = 0.3400289+RDSW = 1.329687E3 PRWG = 0.0432392PRWB = 0.0149808+WR = 1 WINT = 2.420178E-7 LINT = 3.239617E-8 +XL= 0XW = 0DWG = -1.396728E-8VOFF = -2.57933E-3 NFACTOR = 0.8759425 +DWB = 5.6316E-8+CIT = 0CDSC = 2.4E-4CDSCD = 0+CDSCB = 0ETA0 = 0.0152264ETAB = -1.058244E-3+DSUB = 0.2005917PCLM = 2.6613926PDIBLC1 = -0.7606454+PDIBLC2 = 2.593415E-3 PDIBLCB = -0.0326937DROUT = 0.6688818 PSCBE2 = 7.988657E-5 PVAG = 0+PSCBE1 = 5.85807E8+DELTA = 0.01RSH = 81.9MOBMOD = 1+PRT = 8.621UTE = -1 KT1 = -0.2501+KT1L = -2.58E-9 KT2 = 0UA1 = 5.4 E - 10+UB1= -4.8E-19UC1 = -7.5E-11 AT = 1E5+WL = 0WLN = 1 WW = 0+WWN = 1WWL = 0LL = 0+LLN = 1LW = 0LWN = 1+LWL = 0CAPMOD = 2XPART = 0.5+CGDO = 2.02E-10CGSO = 2.02E-10CGBO = 1E-9= 0.4516115+CJ = 4.198358E-4PB = 0.99MJ +CJSW = 3.241716E-10PBSW = 0.1000811MJSW = 0.1152935+CJSWG = 1.64E-10PBSWG = 0.1000811MJSWG = 0.1152935+CF = 0PVTH0 = 0.0681426PRDSW = 188.2442761 +PK2= -0.0295712WKETA = -0.0264969LKETA = -2.950307E-5+AF = 1 KF = 0).MODEL pMOS PMOS (LEVEL = 49+VERSION = 3.1TNOM = 27TOX = 1.39E-8+XJ= 1.5E-7NCH = 1.7E17VTH0 = -0.9223355+K1= 0.5769702K2 = 9.039555E-3 K3 = 6.34861+K3B = -0.6383676W0 = 1E-8NLX = 4.747861E-8+DVT0W = 0DVT1W = 0DVT2W = 0DVT2 = -0.1206691 +DVT0 = 2.4578035DVT1 = 0.576459

+U0UA = 2.824327E-9 UB= 211.8308394= 1E-21+UC = -5.66493E-11 VSAT = 1.622935E5A0 = 0.8712138+AGS = 0.1383793**B0** = 7.726776E-7 B1 = 5E-6+KETA = -5.205201E-3 A1 = 2.378013E-5 A2 = 0.3+RDSW = 3E3PRWG = -0.0454944 PRWB = -2.13823E-4+WR = 1WINT = 2.849786E-7 LINT = 5.529217E-8 +XL= 0XW = 0DWG = -1.840088E-8+DWB = 2.185555E-8 VOFF = -0.0684347NFACTOR = 0.9119466 CDSC = 2.4E-4+CIT = 0CDSCD = 0+CDSCB = 0ETA0 = 0.3751245ETAB = -0.0827039+DSUB = 1PCLM = 2.2966371 PDIBLC1 = 0.0365228+PDIBLC2 = 3.733251E-3 PDIBLCB = -0.0621219 DROUT = 0.2123397PSCBE2 = 7.328296E-10 PVAG = 4.584372E-6 +PSCBE1 = 7.499863E9+DELTA = 0.01RSH = 101.9MOBMOD = 1+PRT = 59.494UTE = -1KT1 = -0.2942+KT1L = 1.68E-9KT2 = 0UA1 = 4.5 E-9+UB1 = -6.3E - 18UC1 = -1E-10AT = 1E3+WL WLN = 1WW = 0= 0WWL = 0LL = 0+WWN = 1+LLN = 1LW = 0LWN = 1 +LWL = 0CAPMOD = 2XPART = 0.5+CGDO = 2.84E-10CGSO = 2.84E-10CGBO = 1E-9= 7.235521E-4= 0.9527404= 0.4955303+CJ PB MJ +CJSW = 2.692736E-10PBSW = 0.99MJSW = 0.295843+CJSWG = 6.4E-11PBSWG = 0.99MJSWG = 0.295843+CF = 0PVTH0 = 5.98016E-3PRDSW = 14.8598424= 3.73981E-3+PK2WKETA = 4.75772E-3 LKETA = -6.715425E-3 +AF= 1 KF = 0)* DATE: May 22/02 * Tech: AMI C5N * LOT: T22Y FF (FAST-FAST) WAF: 3110 * Temperature parameters=Optimized .MODEL nMOS NMOS (LEVEL = 49TOX = 1.39E-8 +VERSION = 3.1TNOM = 27+XJ= 1.5E-7NCH = 1.7E17VTH0 = 0.6252608+K1= 0.8530381K2 = -0.0937042K3 = 25.5736581+K3B = -7.2969383W0 = 1E-8NLX = 1E-9+DVT0W = 0DVT1W = 0DVT2W = 0DVT1 = 0.4318353DVT2 = -0.1001188+DVT0 = 3.4153341+U0= 461.2276323 UA = 1E-13UB = 1.46812E-18+UC = 1.421961E-11 VSAT = 1.555424E5 A0 = 0.7155223+AGS = 0.1483817B0 = 2.54418E-6 B1 = 5E-6 +KETA = 1.388284E-5 A1 = 7.294903E-5 A2 = 0.3921052+RDSW = 1.305357E3 PRWG = 0.0488517PRWB = 0.0366783 +WRWINT = 2.274256E-7 LINT = 3.776271E-8 = 1

+XL = 0 XW = 0 DWG = -8.845179E-9 +DWB = 6.105959E-8 VOFF = 0NFACTOR = 0.5636274CDSCD = 0+CIT = 0CDSC = 2.4E-4+CDSCB = 0ETA0 = 0.0345642ETAB = -1.428288E-3+DSUB = 0.3127341 PCLM = 2.6236271 PDIBLC1 = -0.3319738+PDIBLC2 = 2.390366E-3 PDIBLCB = -0.0300257 DROUT = 0.6600306 +PSCBE1 = 5.488078E8 PSCBE2 = 4.431797E-5 PVAG = 0 +DELTA = 0.01RSH = 81.8MOBMOD = 1+PRT = 8.621UTE = -1KT1 = -0.2501+KT1L = -2.58E-9 KT2 = 0UA1 = 5.4E-10UC1 = -7.5E-11 AT = 1E5 +UB1 = -4.8E-19+WL = 0WLN = 1WW = 0+WWN = 1WWL = 0LL = 0+LLN = 1LW = 0LWN = 1+LWL = 0CAPMOD = 2XPART = 0.5+CGDO = 1.98E-10 CGSO = 1.98E-10 CGBO = 1E-9+CJ = 4.198358E-4 PB = 0.99MJ = 0.4516115+CJSW = 3.241716E-10 PBSW = 0.1000811 MJSW = 0.1152935 +CJSWG = 1.64E-10 PBSWG = 0.1000811 MJSWG = 0.1152935+CF = 0PVTH0 = 0.1033668 PRDSW = 59.9594347 +PK2 = -0.0304166 WKETA = -0.0144288 LKETA = 3.115505E-3 +AF = 1KF = 0)* .MODEL pMOS PMOS (LEVEL = 49TNOM = 27+VERSION = 3.1TOX = 1.39E-8NCH = 1.7E17 +XJ= 1.5E-7VTH0 = -0.8880096 $K_2 = 3.259424E-3$ $K_3 = 4.9517158$ +K1= 0.533922+K3B = -0.6918832 W0 = 1.515912E-8 NLX = 3.554945E-9+DVT0W = 0DVT1W = 0DVT2W = 0+DVT0 = 2.4926947 DVT1 = 0.530833DVT2 = -0.1185572+U0 = 211.6791804 UA = 2.785001E-9 UB = 1E-21+UC = -5.76365E-11 VSAT = 1.732495E5 A0 = 0.9378784 +AGS = 0.1630399 B0 = 7.147395E-7 B1 = 5E-6+KETA = 1.410441E-4 A1 = 0A2 = 0.3+RDSW = 3E3PRWG = -0.0490272 PRWB = 8.254155E-5 +WR = 1WINT = 2.696991E-7 LINT = 6.103973E-8 +XL = 0XW = 0DWG = -1.293462E-8+DWB = 2.202201E-8 VOFF = -0.0500647 NFACTOR = 0.8235545 +CIT = 0CDSC = 2.4E-4CDSCD = 0+CDSCB = 0ETA0 = 0.4342722ETAB = -6.780063E-3PCLM = 2.3221049 PDIBLC1 = 0.036845 +DSUB = 1+PDIBLC2 = 3.86901E-3 PDIBLCB = -0.0457025 DROUT = 0.1909189 +PSCBE1 = 1.678442E10 PSCBE2 = 1.640115E-9 PVAG = 0.0133488 RSH = 101.7MOBMOD = 1+DELTA = 0.01+PRT = 59.494UTE = -1KT1 = -0.2942+KT1L = 1.68E-9 KT2 = 0UA1 = 4.5E-9

= -6.3E-18 UC1 = -1E-10 AT = 1E3+UB1+WL = 0WLN = 1WW = 0+WWN = 1WWL = 0LL = 0+LLN = 1LW = 0LWN = 1+LWL = 0CAPMOD = 2XPART = 0.5CGSO = 2.75E-10+CGDO = 2.75E-10CGBO = 1E-9+CJ = 7.235521E-4 PB = 0.9527404MJ = 0.4955303+CJSW = 2.692736E-10 PBSW = 0.99 MJSW = 0.295843 PBSWG = 0.99+CJSWG = 6.4E-11MJSWG = 0.295843PVTH0 = 5.98016E-3 PRDSW = 14.8598424 +CF = 0+PK2= 3.73981E-3 WKETA = 5.10041E-3 LKETA = -1.725699E-3= 1 +AF KF = 0) * * LOT: T22Y TT (TYPICAL) WAF: 3104 * Temperature parameters=Optimized .MODEL nMOS NMOS (LEVEL = 49+VERSION = 3.1TNOM = 27TOX = 1.39E-8+XJNCH = 1.7E17 = 1.5E-7 VTH0 = 0.6696061+K1= 0.8351612= -0.0839158 K3 = 23.1023856K2 +K3B = -7.6841108 W0 = 1E-8NLX = 1E-9+DVT0W = 0DVT1W = 0DVT2W = 0DVT1 = 0.4302695 DVT2 = -0.134857+DVT0 = 2.9047241+U0= 458.439679 UA = 1E-13UB = 1.485499E-18= 1.629939E-11 VSAT = 1.643993E5 +UC A0 = 0.6103537+AGS = 0.1194608B0 = 2.674756E-6 B1 = 5E-6+KETA = -2.640681E-3 A1 = 8.219585E-5 A2 = 0.3564792+RDSW = 1.387108E3 PRWG = 0.0299916 PRWB = 0.0363981WINT = 2.472348E-7 LINT = 3.597605E-8 +WR= 1 +XLXW = 0DWG = -1.287163E-8= 0+DWB = 5.306586E-8 VOFF = 0 NFACTOR = 0.8365585 +CIT = 0CDSC = 2.4E-4CDSCD = 0ETA0 = 0.0246738 ETAB = -1.406123E-3 +CDSCB = 0+DSUB = 0.2543458PCLM = 2.5945188PDIBLC1 = -0.4282336+PDIBLC2 = 2.311743E-3 PDIBLCB = -0.0272914 DROUT = 0.7283566 +PSCBE1 = 5.598623E8 PSCBE2 = 5.461645E-5 PVAG = 0 +DELTA = 0.01RSH = 81.8 MOBMOD = 1UTE = -1 +PRT = 8.621KT1 = -0.2501+KT1L = -2.58E-9KT2 = 0UA1 = 5.4E-10+UB1 = -4.8E-19UC1 = -7.5E-11AT = 1E5+WL WW = 0WLN = 1= 0+WWN = 1WWL = 0LL = 0+LLN = 1LW = 0LWN = 1 +LWL = 0CAPMOD = 2XPART = 0.5+CGDO = 2E-10CGSO = 2E-10CGBO = 1E-9+CJ = 4.197772E-4 PB= 0.99MJ = 0.4515044+CJSW = 3.242724E-10 PBSW = 0.1MJSW = 0.1153991

+CJSWG = 1.64E-10 PBSWG = 0.1 MJSWG = 0.1153991 +CF = 0 PVTH0 = 0.0585501 PRDSW = 133.285505 +PK2 = -0.0299638 WKETA = -0.0248758 LKETA = 1.173187E-3 +AF = 1 KF = 0* .MODEL pMOS PMOS (LEVEL = 49TNOM = 27TOX = 1.39E-8+VERSION = 3.1NCH = 1.7E17+XJ = 1.5E-7VTH0 = -0.9214347= 0.5553722 K2 = 8.763328E-3 K3 = 6.3063558+K1+K3B = -0.6487362 W0 = 1.280703E-8 NLX = 2.593997E-8+DVT0W = 0DVT1W = 0DVT2W = 0DVT1 = 0.5480536 DVT2 = -0.1186489+DVT0 = 2.5131165+U0= 212.0166131 UA = 2.807115E-9 UB = 1E-21= -5.82128E-11 VSAT = 1.713601E5 A0 = 0.8430019+UC +AGS = 0.1328608 B0 = 7.117912E-7 B1 = 5E-6+KETA = -3.674859E-3 A1 = 4.77502E-5 A2 = 0.3 +RDSW = 2.837206E3 PRWG = -0.0363908 PRWB = -1.016722E-5 WINT = 2.838038E-7 LINT = 5.528807E-8 +WR = 1XW = 0 DWG = -1 606385E-8 +XI = 0+DWB = 2.266386E-8 VOFF = -0.0558512 NFACTOR = 0.9342488 +CIT = 0CDSC = 2.4E-4CDSCD = 0ETA0 = 0.3251882+CDSCB = 0ETAB = -0.0580325+DSUB = 1PCLM = 2.2409567PDIBLC1 = 0.0411445+PDIBLC2 = 3.355575E-3 PDIBLCB = -0.0551797 DROUT = 0.2036901 +PSCBE1 = 6.44809E9 PSCBE2 = 6.300848E-10 PVAG = 0 +DELTA = 0.01RSH = 101.6MOBMOD = 1+PRT = 59.494UTE = -1KT1 = -0.2942+KT1L = 1.68E-9KT2 = 0UA1 = 4.5E-9+UB1 = -6.3E-18 UC1 = -1E-10AT = 1E3+WL = 0WLN = 1WW = 0+WWN = 1WWL = 0LL = 0+LLN = 1LW = 0LWN = 1+LWL = 0CAPMOD = 2XPART = 0.5CGSO = 2.9E-10+CGDO = 2.9E-10CGBO = 1E-9+CJ = 7.235528E-4 PB = 0.9527355 MJ = 0.4955293+CJSW = 2.692786E-10 PBSW = 0.99MJSW = 0.2958392+CJSWG = 6.4E-11PBSWG = 0.99MJSWG = 0.2958392PVTH0 = 5.98016E-3 PRDSW = 14.8598424 +CF = 0+PK2 = 3.73981E-3 WKETA = 5.292165E-3 LKETA = -4.205905E-3 +AF = 1 KF = 0)* . End

REFERENCES

[1] R. Jacob Baker, *CMOS Circuit Design, Layout and Simulation, 2nded.* Boise, ID:Wiley-IEEE, 2005.

[2] R. Jacob Baker, Harry W. Li and David E. Boyce, *CMOS Circuit Design, Layout and Simulation*, John Wiley and Sons publishers, ISBN-81-203-1682-7.

[3] M. Bazes, "Two Novel Fully Complementary Self-Biased CMOS Differential Amplifiers," *IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits*, vol. 26, no. 2, Feb. 1991.

[4] Jan M. Rabaey, *Digital Integrated Circuits- A Design Perspective*, 4th edition Prentice Hall Electronics and VLSI Series, ISBN-81-203-1244-9.